

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,641	12/19/2000	Laurent Bensemana	6670/0I093US0 4378		
75	90 11/21/2005	EXAMINER			
DARBY & DARBY P.C 805 Third Avenue			JEANTY, ROMAIN		
New York, NY 10022-7513			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3623			

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons			09/740,641		BENSEMANA, LAURENT				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Romain Jeanty		3623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M rsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum si- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS ( 6(a). In no event, he ill apply and will exp cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION between, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06</i> Oc	ctober 2005.						
· · · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
-/ت	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3-17 and 25</u> is/are pendida) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1, 3-17 and 25</u> is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdraw ted.	n from consid						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	•						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note t	ne attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen			41 [	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO_412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) [ 6) [	Notice of Informal Part Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/740,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 6, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 3-17, and 25 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-17, and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "entering said consumer's reaction. It is unclear as to what reaction applicant is referring. It is further unclear how the consumer's reaction can be entered if it has not been created or established. Appropriate action is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent

therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1, 3-17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

(1) whether the invention is within the technological arts of:

(2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the

technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural

phenomena) that do not apply, invoice, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the

"progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences,

for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to

pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological

arts.

Furthermore, mere intended or nominal use of a component, albeit within the

technological arts, does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea if the

component does not apply, involve, use, or advance the underlying process.

Page 4

While claims 1, 3-17, and 25 apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts, however, they are deemed to be non-statutory for failure to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result (i.e., nothing id done with the entered consumer's reaction).

Claims 3-17 and 25 depend from independent claim1; therefore are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-17, and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eldering (U.S. Patent No. 6,298,348) in view of David (Survey Data: Use of Scater Plots for Displaying Scale and Consistency Factors).

As per claims 1, 4-8, 12-16, and 25, Eldering disclose a consumer profiling system comprising:

initially establishing a consumer's self-perceived consumption behaviour profile; means for monitoring said consumer's behaviour to create an actual behaviour derived consumption profile (col. 7, lines 11-19), means for comparing said consumer's self-perceived consumption behaviour profile with the consumer's actual behaviour derived consumption profile to identify consistencies and inconsistencies, means for creating a consumer's "true" consumption behaviour profile based on the consumer's self-perceived consumption behaviour profile, the consumer's

actual behaviour derived consumption profile (monitoring the consumer's habits and creating an accurate profile of the consumer) (col. 6, lines 37-47). Eldering does not express discloses attributing a weifhtinh to the consistency and inconsistencies... David in the same field of endeavor discloses the concept of rating consistencies of survey responses of a user. Note pages 99-101 of David. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Eldering to include the teachings of David because such a modification would allow Eldering to pinpoint specidic issues needing attention.

As per claim 17, Eldering further discloses wherein said system further comprises means for attributing an appropriate weighting to the consistencies and inconsistencies existing between the said consumer's self-perceived consumption behaviour profile with the tracked said consumer's actual behaviour derived consumption profile (i.e., a weighing factor for weighing particular product purchased at particular time) (col. 10, lines 43-54).

As per claim 3, Eldering further discloses wherein said means for comparing said initial consumer profile with the tracked behaviour of said consumer further includes means for logging consistencies and inconsistencies of the tracked behaviour of said consumer in a reaction log (storing the consumer's data) (col. 9, lines 29-35).

As per claim 9, Eldering further discloses wherein said means for establishing an initial consumer's self-perceived consumption profile includes a questionnaire to be answered by said consumer (col. 9, lines 51-60).

As per claim 10, Eldering further disclose wherein said means for tracking said consumer's actual consumption pattern behaviour include means for tracking inquiries and purchases (col. 6, lines 33-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/740,641

Art Unit: 3623

9. Claim11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eldering and David as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Honarvar (U.S. Patent No. 6.430,542).

Page 6

As per claim11, Eldering and Daid fails to explicitly disclose tracking simulations. Honarvar in the same field of endeavor, discloses the concept of tracking simulation (col. 20, lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Eldering and David to include the tracking simulations of Honarvar with the motivation to allow for detailed customer-level analysis.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Brown (U.S. Patent No. 6,611,842) discloses a method for classifying individual personal preferences for products purchased by the individual.
- b. Tuzhilin (U.S. Patent No. 6,236,978) discloses a method for generating user profile where the profile includes the user factual information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (703) 308-9585. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,641 Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 31, 2005

Jamary Examiner
Det Unit 3623

Emain